

An Essay from Michael Mannheimer

Eurabia: The Planned Islamization of Europe

“Thanks to your democratic laws, we will overtake you; thanks to your religious laws,
we will rule over you. --Imam from Izmir¹

The Example of Denmark

The Islamization of Europe is in full swing. The majority of Europeans are helpless against this development. They are informed neither about the true essence of Islam, nor about the background of Islamic politics on European soil. The Muslims have not come to integrate into European societies. Their goal is the transformation of Europe into an Islamic realm where only Shari’a, the law of Islam, will rule.

This series of documents brings important information to light with regard to this issue with the hope that the expansionist desires of the Muslims and their supporters in the Western Elite will be met with much needed resistance.

Contents

Part 1:	
The Political, Ideological and Religious Background Behind the Islamization of Europe	Page 1
Part 2:	
Facts about the Islamization of Denmark	Page 5
Part 3:	
The First Summary Regarding the Islamization of Denmark	Page 13
Part 4:	
The Western Enablers of Denmark’s Islamization	Page 15

Michael Mannheimer
Second Revision
Germany, August 2009
Contact: M.Mannheimer@gmx.net

THIS DOCUMENT HAS BEEN TRANSLATED FROM GERMAN
Source: <http://europenews.dk/de/node/28948>

Translation Notes

Representation of Arabic names in English vary. The following names are listed so that the reader will recognize these “familiar” terms according to how they have learned them.

Quran = Koran

Muhammad = Mohammed

The German term *O-Ton* refers to an original recording or saying. This information comes from the German Wikipedia.

Part 1

The Political, Ideological and Religious Background Behind the Islamization of Europe

THE PLAN OF THE LEFT FOR ISLAMIZATION

– and its persistent realization to this day

At the beginning of the 90s, the representative from Bündnis90/Die Grünen (Coalition90/Greenpeace) for German-French Europe and one of the leading leftwing politicians, **Daniel Cohn-Bendit**, announced accordingly the following:

"We, the Greens (Green Party), must strive to this end that as many foreigners as possible be brought to Germany. If they are in Germany, we must fight for their right to vote. Once we have achieved this, then we have the segment of voters we need to change this republic."

Jürgen Trittin, Federal Minister for Environment, Natural Protection and Reactor Security under the chancellorship of Schröder (1998-2005), and then after October 2005 the Federal Minister for Consumer Protection, Nutrition and Agriculture has preserved his 1968 Peace Movement spirit [68er spirit] to this present day. Even to this day he is beholden to his prior membership in the Maoist "Communist Federation" (Kommunistischen Bund [KB]) (Their motto being: "Never again Germany!"). Regarding this, he says:

"This is no sin of the youth. I believe that there are even more things that a person can stand for to this day..."²*

In the context of this declaration is Trittin's interesting opinion regarding the concept of democratic elections. They have not so much to do with the organization of parliamentary majorities, but much more the attaining for oneself the power of

"dominant minorities and the leadership of opinion."³

This is nothing short of post-Communist propagation of the Leninist Doctrine of a Dictatorship of the Proletariat on the part of a prominent German politician†. That was the same Communist justification for decades-long oppression of their citizens through a radical, arrogant and above all decidedly leftwing-Fascist minority. With that same strategy of opinion control that has been successful until now, Trittin has sketched out a much more penetrating plan regarding the real relationships of power among western European countries. Even the political scientists have not been able to achieve this with their long-winded analyses. It is true that parliamentary power lies mostly in the conservative parties in Europe. However, the leadership of opinion of which Trittin speaks, and the power that arises therefrom has been overwhelmingly in the hands of highly organized, primarily left-oriented minorities (Keyword: leftwing [fascist] opinion cartel) who still find themselves to be unchallenged regarding what is politically correct and what isn't. We will come to speak more often of this.

JILTED POLITICIANS SEEK FOR THEMSELVES ANOTHER PEOPLE

How a political satire eventually becomes reality

Let us come to alpha-beast from the Greens (Greenpeace), the German **Joschka Fischer**, who was long-time chief of his party and served as the previous German Minister of the Exterior. In his youth he was a militant enemy of his country, a police-fighter, RAF-sympathiser and a hater of the western sys-

* His prior days in the KB is meant here.

† Trittin: "To this day I have never sung the national hymn, and as Minister I still will not do so!"

tem‡. He blew the same horn as his party-friend and fighting companion Cohn-Bendit. Fischer ("*I discover more and more how much I have remained a Marxist.*") reveals the political intentions behind massive immigration in his book with the revealing title "Germany - The Risk" (1994). The content of this book was summed up in the "WELT" newspaper as follows:

*"Germany must be hemmed in from without, and within she must be heterogenized by influx (of foreigners) or quasi "thinned out."*⁴

In plain language, the idea of a voter fraud of historical proportions was crafted, the result being a stealthily and carefully executed plan with a determined result. In 1960 only 600,000 Muslims lived in all of Europe, however today there are already over 30 million, and the greatest mass immigration in the history of man continues unabated. Each year 1 million new Muslim immigrants stream into Europe. This is done legally through the reunification of families, the process of asylum, or they come as "political fugitives" from their Islamic countries where human rights are trodden underfoot. Ever and again they receive residency rights, ever more receiving European citizenship without having to accede to rudimentary skills of culture, education or employment necessary to cope with the requirements of high-tech European society.

But they haven't come here to integrate into Western society. This is forbidden by their faith§ as well as their religious leaders who are highly organized and have long since held the real leadership over European Muslims. Their primary political goal is to complete what their religion, what Allah has commanded: the rule over all "infidels" in this world. This is what it says in the Koran; this is what their prophet (Muhammad) also commands. And this is what every representative of Muslims strives for in every European country, regardless of what party they belong to.

World Domination is the principal goal of Islam

Whether it be *Vural Öger*[¶] (German-Turkish SPD-Representative), whether *Boumediennes*^{**} (former Algerian head of state), whether *Izbetbegovic*^{††} (Bosnian expresident), whether *Erbakan*^{††} (former Turkish Ministerpresident), or whether it be *Ibrahim El-Zayat*^{‡‡}, (President of the Islamic Fellowship in Germany): every one of them knows well the mandate for world conquest, and every one of them is applying every bit of power they have to accomplish this end.

Only Western Do-Gooders and the Leftist Elite insistently refuse to acknowledge the Islamic push for world dominance, for they naively follow the motto of do-gooders and good-willers who think that that which is not allowed to exist simply cannot exist. But let it be said to all who refuse to accept reality: the Muslim doesn't care one iota what the non-Muslim thinks of him. What Islam entails, what it really means, every Muslim understands perfectly. To express it coarsely, Western ideals of morality or other values are considered rubbish. Khomeini, among others, formulated this idea unambiguously when he said

*"The rule over the world is the end goal of Islam"*⁵

This statement is confirmed by all who know Islam. The German-Syrian orientalist and Islamic scientist, Bassam Tibi, says in addition:

‡ O-Ton: Fischer: "On its own behalf, one should burn this German map once and for all."

§ Surah 3:118: "O ye who believe, make no friendships except with your equals.
Surah 5:51: "Oh believers, take neither Jew nor Christian as Friends."

¶ Öger, in the large Turkish newspaper *Hürriyet*: "What Sultan Süleyman did at the siege of Vienna in 1683 began is what we, with our strong men and healthy women, will realize against the inhabitants.

** "One day, millions of people will leave the Southern Hemisphere and push into the north[ern hemisphere]. They will not come as friends, but in order to conquer. And they will conquer in this fashion that they will populate the Northern Hemisphere with their children. The body of our wives will bestow the victory upon us."

†† The Islamic movement must seize the power of the State as soon as it [the movement] is morally and numerically strong enough to topple the non-Islamic powers and set up an Islamic government.

‡‡ Our goal is to put roots down in the European continent and to live in peace according to the laws [of Islam], so that one day perhaps all of Europe will be Muslim.

*"Everywhere, in that place where Muslims live, Islam claims for itself the sole owner of validity (dissenting ideas are invalid)."*⁶

Even the Chief of Police in Cologne - bound to the requirements of his office not to take positions - concluded soberly as a result of unhindered border openings and the high birth rate of Islamic people that the German State will be overtaken in 2030. Since Islam is striving for world dominance, it could come to a bloody civil war in Germany and Europe.⁷

His colleague in Vienna, Alfred Ellinger, President of the Association of Austrian Criminologists (Vereinigung österreichischer Kriminalisten), sees it the same way. In a comment regarding the state of Europe in connection with Islam, he writes:

*"A Moslem has the duty to defend his territory against attacks from infidels and at the same time to destroy the world of the infidels to the end that Islam will rule the whole globe. Jihad comes to an end only when all people have either accepted the Islamic faith or have bowed to its authority. "The border of Islam is the border of the world."*⁸

Also, the Turk Metin Kaplan who is smiled upon as the "Caliph of Cologne," founder of the militant Muslim organization "Caliph-State," Hater of Jews and Israel, and a recognized fugitive (Asylbewerber), proclaimed in countless sermons the creation of an "Islamic Theocracy Germany" and prophesied the soon coming of Islamic world dominance.⁹ At the end of 2008, the Islamic scholar of Indian origin, T.K. Abdullah declared in an open presentation in Qatar the soon takeover of the world by Islam after Communism and Capitalism have finally failed.¹⁰

Adolf Hitler himself had only a marginal understanding of Islam, but he collaborated with the Grand Mufti of Jerusalem in order to build a death camp for the Jews living in Palestine. Nevertheless, he was able to grasp the Islamic charge of world dominance better than most modern educated Europeans can.

*"If we had become Mohammedans, we would have owned the world today."*¹¹

Muslims everywhere are working toward the takeover of power, and neither an ostensible leftwing opinion or a Green opinion can hold them back. Muslim Coalition90/Grünen (Bündnis90/Greenpeace) politician Nargess Eskandari-Grünberg expressed this fact in an Education and Integration Caucus when a Frankfurt citizen complained about the overwhelming contingent of foreigners in his part of the city.

"Migration is a fact in Frankfurt. If that makes you uncomfortable, then you need to move somewhere else,"

she said.¹²

Eskandari-Grünberg, born in 1965 in Tehran, and in 1985 she fled Iran as a political fugitive and was granted Asylum in Germany.

HOW EUROPEAN POLITICIANS ARE EXECUTING POLICIES AGAINST THEIR CONSTITUENTS WITH THE HELP OF MUSLIM VOTER POLICY

The influence of Muslims in European daily politics and their political power have become overwhelming in the mean time. Be reminded of Gerhard Schröder's reelection bid (*O-Ton Schröder: "Yes, I am a Marxist!"*), just how he won with a razor thin majority of votes. With the possible threat of a loss before his eyes, Schröder put his bets into the Turkish vote and promised that, under his watch, the way would be irrevocably cleared for the EU-membership of Islamic Turkey. This message was geared to the approx. 600,000 naturalized Islamic immigrants, the majority being of Turkish origin and on whom Schröder had placed his hopes. His approach was successful. Thanks to the Turkish-German voters who gave the majority of their votes to Schröder, the SPD and Greenpeace parties were able to garner a noteworthy mandate majority, an advantage of a whole 6,027 votes was just enough to get him reelected to the Chancellorship.¹³ This, however, was achieved against the will of the German people (which thing was kept silent), for without the votes of the naturalized Turkish-German immigrants Schröder would have

lost this election to the opposition by a margin of over 1/2 million votes. Imagine this: politicians practicing politics against their own people. This is the very thing that Cohn-Bendit called for, and it is the implementation of the same plan all over Europe by the leftwing power cartel that is calling for the “thinning out” of the voter proportion that native Europeans have. And now, the fruit of this endeavor is starting to show.

The shifting around of population groups is by no means a novel invention. As a means of “non-military” final solution, this shift has been accomplished in foreign areas that were already occupied, or perhaps the conquered people had their original populations replaced over time by means of “gentler” methods of crowding out until the original population would no longer be there. A fine example in recent history is North Cyprus. Occupied since 1974 by Turkey, hundreds of thousands of Anatolian mainland Turks have been placed there. The result today is that the relationship between Greek and Turkish Cypriots has shifted dramatically in favor of the erstwhile Turkish minority.

An example from Tibet: This giant, autonomous region of 1.2 million square kilometers (Germany’s area by comparison covers only 350,000 square kilometers) became a Chinese occupation in the 1950s. Since then, China has seen to it that millions of Chinese move to Tibet, so that in the meantime Tibetans have become the minority in their own land. In both of these cases the thing that holds true is that the redistribution of population groups was guided by the authority of the occupying power, and indeed the result was logically to the advantage of the ethnic group of that occupying power.

Europe, on the other hand, is not occupied by any external force, but in spite of this fact, this continent finds itself in the throes of one of the greatest demographic upheavals in its history by means of new and mostly Muslim ethnic groups that are successfully replacing indigenous Europeans. This upheaval has been steered by Europe’s OWN politicians and was programmed long ago to stand against the interests and needs of native Europeans. Those politicians have neither asked their constituents for permission regarding this issue, nor do they react to the growing unrest of the European people because such a mass immigration has led to the fact that Europe, as the Europeans have known it, is becoming less recognizable, that in many places Europeans have become foreigners in their own land, and from the beginning they have not wanted this large influx. This immigration policy carries with it all the signs of a totalitarian concept of rule from the political elite of Europe and harks back to the giant population shifts in the Soviet Union and communist China under Stalin and Mao. These shifts were decided in the circle of a small political group and were carried out without one single referendum to the indigenous people involved.

The plain and short: with regard to Europe, the leftwing/green power complex has been behaving for a while now in certain essential political core areas (i.e. migration, EU expansion, citizenship) in a way that shows like an external occupational force. Since those who have been responsible for this mass immigration have been unable to win over their home-grown European voters to this political work, they have set out to occupy their own lands by millions of people with a foreign language, culture and religion. Their intention, in the mean time, is to “thin out” the European portion of the population, and eventually to disempower them altogether.

This is nothing short of a new form of “ethnic cleansing.” Moreover, there are certain perverse warning signs: No controlling external occupying force, but rather a small and determined minority from within the indigenous^{§§} population; this ruling minority will meanwhile and eventually in the long term exchange the current population with those who promise a stronger support of their policies.

The leftwing/Green master plan is already showing its desired results. As an example, in Brussels, Milan and Oslo, the name “Mohammed” is now the most common name given to newborns. In England the traditionally most common name was “Jack.” However, in the meantime “Mohammed” has taken the lead. In 2004 in Holland, 56% of all the children and youth in the large cities were foreigners, most of them Muslim.

In Switzerland, the statistics for 2040 show a 76% portion of the population to be Muslim, assuming the policies of this Alpine country do not change. According to an Austrian study, in 2051, every third Austrian pupil will be a Muslim. A study from the Islamic Archive in Soest (2006) regarding developments of the German population predicts that in 2045, the German population will stand at 51.72 million Muslims in contrast to only 45 million native Germans. A fact that has already been carefully calculated by the leading Muslim authorities for accomplishing the takeover of power in that most important country in Europe.

§§ long-established

Part 2

Facts about the Islamization of Denmark

For decades Denmark has been one of the few worldwide examples of a truly peaceful community with a nearly perfectly functioning state social system. For Europe and for others, this little country has been the example to follow for it has a strong environmental conscience, one of the best education systems and a low crime rate. For decades, this was the cornerstone of the liberal policies carried out by the Danish Social Democrats.

However, in the 90s, disenchantment began to settle in in parallel with the sudden explosion of immigrants from Islamic countries. The Danes came to a shocking conclusion as to how many Muslims already were living in the most important cities and just how little if any desire they really had to integrate into Danish society. On the contrary, it became more and more clear that the leaders of the Muslims were beginning to attack the lifestyle of the Danes, using ever sharper language to express their contempt for so-called "western decadence."

Only gradually and much too slowly did the Danes begin to comprehend that, with the Muslims, they had taken in a group that not only could not accept the core values of the Danes (belief in the freedom of the individual, freedom of speech, equality for women, tolerance for other ethnic groups) but also would attack those values with ever increasing force. In the place of "western decadence," they would set up the archaic societal model of Islam, which they would institute over time in Denmark as the future and only model of faith and justice to be desired.

Also, in Denmark as in other "lands of war"¹¹, Islam is working on a massive infiltration of the country with the goal in the meantime of making Denmark into an Islamic Denmark. The *Islamic Party of Denmark* is already declaring a soon takeover of power in Denmark. The central and single assignment of the party is eventually to make Denmark an Islamic state through the occupation of important positions by Muslims. Moreover, they have threatened that a "wrong" treatment of Muslim children will be met with the unleashing of unrest on the part of Muslims living there.

GENERAL FACTS

Like many other western lands, the Danish are having problems with riots fomented by the Muslim immigrants. And this has not been just since the publishing of the Mohammed caricatures in the *Jyllands-Posten* in September 2005. Already in November 1999, several dozen youth in the heavily Muslim inhabited township of *Nørrebro* rioted while police looked on and did nothing. The Muslims broke out around 100 picture windows, set automobiles on fire and threw stones at the police. According to the police report, the riot took place because of a judgment that was handed down for the deportation of a Danish criminal of Turkish origin. Ercan Cicek was to be returned to Turkey after serving a 3-year sentence.¹⁴ We can remember the recent Muslim tumults in France that were similarly tied to Muslim criminals and in which thousands of automobiles were burned and destroyed, as well as public buses having fire bombs thrown at them and police being shot up with shrapnel weapons. The message the Muslims sent, not only in Denmark and France, but to others was this: "We are the future rulers of this country!"

In the course of the week-long raging protests by the Muslims after the publishing of the Mohammed Caricatures by the Danish daily *Jyllands-Posten* (The result of the uprisings: 140 dead and over 800 injured worldwide), Denmark was also criticized by other western governments. Sean McCormack, the speaker of the US Exterior Ministry as well as the British Exterior Minister Jack Straw characterized the caricatures as "injurious." To every democracy belongs

*"in addition to freedom of opinion, aspects such as the fostering of understanding and respect for minority rights."*¹⁵

¶ Islamic designation for countries in their grip that they still consider to be "infidel"

McCormack meant by his critique that the Muslim minority in Denmark had allegedly been oppressed by the Danes. Since early on, the Muslims have boasted of their ostensible tolerance of religious minorities. Murat Hofmann, a German Islam convert, educated Jurist, exdiplomat and author of numerous books, describes Islamic minority rights as

*"the most liberal statute for other faiths that the world to this day has ever seen or used as a standard,"*¹⁶

one of the many myths about Islam that doesn't hold up when scrutinized more closely. Let's look at an example from Denmark as to just how Islam really deals with non-Muslim minorities.

Because of Racist Muslims, Original Danish Natives Have to be Relocated¹⁷

The island of Greenland belongs to the little country Denmark. However, for years now, the native inhabitants of Greenland, the Kalaalit, do not have the confidence to go out into their streets anymore. The reason for this: the Muslims will throw rocks at them, or they regularly attack them in some other way. The reason for this is incomprehensible and at the same time surreal: many adherents to this "religion of peace" see the Greenland natives as "infidels" and even as wild beasts that have no human rights at all. And even though the Danish government has attempted by many means to improve the situation between the Kalaalit and the Muslims, no improvement has been achieved, and in many cases the situation has worsened. Among the actions taken by the Danish government was the creation of an Internet site in the Arabic language in which they attempted to show that in dealing with the Kalaalit, the Muslim were dealing not with beasts but really with people. Already in 2007, the yearly traditional festival of the Kalaalit in Aarhus (every June 21st) could only take place under the purview of police protection. And in 2008, the festival didn't even happen as a result the increasing Muslim violence against the Greenland natives.



*This Danish Internet campaign in the Arabic language did not help the Kalaalit – even more Muslims are attacking them.*¹⁹

Also, the natives have had to forego even their beloved football games, for the Muslims would throw rocks at them if the natives would be caught practicing alone. The attacks have taken on such a great ferocity that the Kalaalit now barricade their windows in order to keep the Muslims from breaking into their flats. This problem has for some time already been known by the authorities, and they react just as only authorities of countries run by decent, unassuming people would react: instead of attacking the evil at the root, instead of arresting the perpetrators and putting them in jail, and instead of deporting them, the city council of Aarhus is financing the transfer to the Danish mainland for any Kalaalit who wishes to leave their homeland due to fear of the Muslims, and they are also subsidizing their search for

flats outside of the large cities where the Muslim immigrants themselves have taken up residence. A step that the Muslims have already had in their sights, considering the exploding number of Muslims already in Denmark. Johanne Christiansen, one of the women from the Kalaalit group who gladly accepted the state assistance, says:

"I could not bear anymore being the constant target of their attacks."

They have been exclusively attacked by Somalis and Arabs. *"They simply have no respect for us."* Moslems tell the Kalaalits that they should *"completely urinate upon themselves because Denmark is their country."*²⁰

With the exception of one or two insignificant Danish local newspapers, the mainline European media have to this day remained silent regarding this issue. Much the opposite is happening though, the *Süddeutsche Zeitung*, a leader in the suppression and denial of the *"allegedly threatening Islamization"* of Europe²¹, signifies on a regular basis that the few who are warning of the Islamization of Europe are in error, or are kooks or haters of foreigners, and they accuse them of mockery and malice.

And even the countless human rights organizations that would otherwise expose the slightest error committed by western governments at worldwide reconnaissance and protest campaigns have remained unusually quiet, and to this day they have not said one word in protest against these activities in Denmark. As with most western journalists, artists and intellectual individuals, the boldness of most western freedom and human rights activists consistently ends exactly where Islam begins. And this boldness mutates in the face of the dark determination of that theocratic totalitarianism, that threatens its critics and opponents with murder in order to get them to shrink away in cowardice and dishonesty, a totalitarianism that encourages its adherents not to shy away from committing murder to accomplish its goals.

In any case, a democratically elected administration that has been totally unable to protect its own native people from attacks by immigrants does not deserve to have the name "government." The policy of relocating the Kalaalit is interpreted on the part of the Danish Muslims as exactly this: a further act of the successive subjugation of Denmark to the Islamic claims of lordship over that country -- and as an indirect encouragement to the Muslims to continue their practice of terror that, so far, has been quite successful against the West.

The previously mentioned international criticism against Denmark because of their allegedly lacking respect for its Muslim minority is a farce, considering how much hate and lack of respect the Danish Muslims have demonstrated against their Danish native counterparts. This is scandalous when, in hindsight, we recognize how the rights of minorities in Islamic countries are really carried out (or are really --not-- carried out)^{***}. Moreover, this shines the light on the lack of knowledge or complete ignorance within the highest political ranks about the true character of Islam, which in practically all of its countries simply heaps the rights of minorities into a pile of dirt, especially when those rights have to do with "infidels." Of a truth, there is no religion that is nearly as antagonistic against minorities in this fashion

^{***} The Facts in Numbers: In the last fifty years alone, the number of Christians and Jews in the Near East has fallen more than 95 percent as a result of persecution. And while in these original Christian-Jewish territories, where Christianity and Judaism lived for centuries before the beginning of Islam, these religions have been almost completely wiped out, Christian Europe is becoming more and more Islamic. The actual count of Christians and Jews who have had to leave the homelands in the Near East could be even higher. *SPIEGEL Magazine* reports: *"There are no reliable numbers for the size of the Christian minorities in the North East, partly because there are no statistics available, and partly because it would be a political firebrand to produce them."*

Following is an excerpt from Michael Mannheim's Essay "Worldwide Persecution of Christians by Islam." This article can be found at <http://www.hagalil.com/archiv/2007/08/christenverfolgung.htm>

Of a truth, Christians have never been as persecuted in history as they are this present day. Every three minutes a Christian is killed for his faith – overwhelmingly so in Islamic countris. The *Catholic Church of Switzerland* reports that each year 100,000 Christians are either murdered or tortured to death for their faith. According to data from the *International Society for Human Rights*, every tenth Christian, or more than 200 million worldwide are victims of discrimination and violence. In a television documentary from PHOENIX regarding the theme "Persecuted Christians" it is reported: *"Christians live with danger in many Islamic countries. The basic right of religious freedom shows only on paper here."* According to the *World Persecution Index* of the human rights organization "Open Doors" from the years 2005 through 2008, Christians worldwide are by far the most persecuted religion. *"Millions of Christians suffer discrimination or persecution because of their faith – whether in Islamic countries, totalitarian states, or in regions where violence rules. They are often classified as second-class citizens whose basic humanitarian foundational rights have been refused them."* The conclusion of this globe-spanning study is both fearful and enlightening: Islam is the ruling authority in 6 of the 10 countries with the harshest persecution of Christians as well as in 37 of 50 documented countries. Another conclusion of the study: The salient form of government in all 50 "Persecutor States" is a distinct state-run or theocratic totalitarianism.]]]]

as Islam is. SPIEGEL (one of the few publications that even dares attempting some criticism regarding Islam even though it does believe that a moderate Islam is not evil) reports: "*Violence, Terror and a growing influx of Islamists threaten oriental Christendom. In some countries the unloved minority struggles already for survival -- or seeks salvation in flight*"²²

Detailed Group of Facts

In the following a few reports from Denmark will be presented with respect to the subject of Muslim immigrants.

1. HIGH SOCIAL EXPENDITURES FOR MUSLIMS IN DENMARK

- **Direct immigration into the Danish social system**

Contrary to the often repeated and differing opinions of the politicians, immigration into Denmark costs far more for the state than citizens have been told. A Danish think tank estimates these costs to be up to 50 Milliard Krone (about 6.7 Milliard Euros) per year. The study mentions even further that if a sudden arrest of this immigration would occur (especially that of the Muslim immigration), gigantic sums of money would be saved. Another study states that one out of two immigrants lacks the qualifications for even the least skilled positions -- especially among those immigrating from the Islamic world. This problem of direct immigration into the social system of Denmark is one that follows the pattern of other European countries where large increases of immigration has been an issue. The media, on the other hand, give a politically and Islamically correct report regarding these issues.²³

- **Immigrants from the Third World**

Most of them are Muslims from such countries as Turkey, Somalia, Pakistan, Lebanon and Iraq -- they make up 5% of the population -- but receive over 40% of the social expenditures.²⁴

- **The average per-capita contribution to taxes and GDP by immigrants in Denmark, 80 percent of which originate from Islamic countries, lies at 41% under that of the Danes.**²⁵

2. THE EXTREMELY HIGH RATE OF CRIME AMONG MUSLIMS IN DENMARK

- **70 percent of all crimes in Copenhagen are committed by Muslims**

In February 2009, the danish psychologist *Nicolai Sennels* published a book with the title "*Among Criminal Muslims. The Experiences of a Psychologist in Copenhagen.*" His conclusion: "***The integration of Muslims in European societies is impossible.***"

Here is a segment of an interview with Nicolai Sennels:

*"Everywhere, there are painful measures being taken to integrate Muslims into society, but all these efforts seem to be futile. According to the Danish police and the Bureau of Statistics, 70 percent of all crimes in the Danish capital were committed by Muslims. Our national bank published a short study that shows that each Muslim immigrant costs on average more than 2 Million Krone (about 300,000 Euro) in state subsidies, the sole cause for this being their limited participation in the employment market. Aside from this, they receive further benefits from the social system for unemployment, for the cost of translators, special hours at school -- whereby 64 percent of the children of Muslim parents still can't read or write properly by the age of 10 -- plus the cost of social workers and, of course, the cost of more police personnel."*²⁶

The book had consequences. Nicolai Sennels says,

*"My comments led to a forbidden conclusion with threat of being fired if I didn't change my conclusion. If one follows the Danish authorities, then one could say that the serious problems that Muslims have are caused by poverty, by the media, by the police, or by the Danes in general. What one absolutely is forbidden to do is: first to bring the cultural background of immigrants into the discussion, and second to point back to the personal responsibility of the immigrants to integrate into society."*²⁷

• The statistics in Germany are identical to those of Denmark

Already at the end of 2002, the internationally famous historian Hans-Ulrich Wehler came to the same conclusion about the Muslims living in Germany. In an interview with "taz":

*"The Federal Republic (of Germany) doesn't have a problem with foreigners; it has a problem with Turks. This Muslim diaspora is for all intents and purposes unable to integrate."*²⁸

The statistics agree with Wehler: At 5.4 percent, the criminal quotient of immigrants in Germany is twice that of the general population. Indeed the level for foreign youth lies at 12 percent. Around 30 percent of all murders, rapes and robberies (with injuries) are committed by foreigners. The figures for the general population, however, stand merely at around 10 percent. Those who commit the extreme crimes are above all youth from foreign families -- for example, in Berlin only 1 German in 5 is a repeat offender.²⁹ What the authorities in Berlin will not say, however, is the actual degree of foreigners that take part in criminal activity in the German capital, for the real truth is that more than 80 percent of all felons in Berlin are of "non-German origin."³⁰

• Terror in the streets: more and more Danish are fleeing from their own cities³¹

Whether Denmark, France, Norway, Sweden or Holland, everywhere the same pictures of street wars between the Muslim immigrants and the police seem to appear. Such is the substance of reports from Danish newspapers that civil-war-like conditions exist in practically all of the large cities in the country, especially Copenhagen and Aarhus, Denmark's second largest city. The media also report how, more and more, the native Danes are being threatened and tyrannized by the Muslim immigrants. Whole neighborhoods have been converted to quasi-Islamic territories and thereby declared as "no-go areas" for the native Danes. The young Muslims declared at a weekend riot in Aarhus that this was "their city."³² Similar expressions can be heard in Holland, Belgium, England, France, Sweden, Germany and Austria. Danish police report how they are yelled at and spat upon by Muslim immigrants. In order to be politically correct, the mainstream media in Europe have remained silent regarding these inconceivable situations, thereby deceiving their readers about the true affairs going on in their countries.

• Drastic drop in urban real estate prices

Amid all of this, the value of real estate in almost every Danish city has plummeted, while at the same time rural real estate prices have shot up to heights hitherto unheard of. The reason: whatever Dane can afford to do so will flee from the big city and look for a quieter and above all more peaceful plot of land. It is here that the Muslims are -- still -- to be found at a minimum. It is here that the riots occurring daily in the large cities can be felt at a minimum, for in the large cities war has been the rule for a long time now. Only a short while ago did the *Copenhagen Post* finally declare the Danish capital a "war zone."³³

• Mass rape as a new and hitherto unrecognized phenomenon

Muslims make up only 4 percent of Denmark's 5.4 Million population, but they make up the majority of condemned rapists in the country; a burning political fact is that practically all female victims are non-Muslim (Christian). Most of the media remain silent about this out of fear or political unrest. Similar ratios are to be found in other categories of criminal activity.³⁴ As pertaining to mass rape of non-Muslim women, the same numbers are the case in Sweden and Norway as well.

3. PERSECUTION OF CHRISTIANS AND JEWS IN DENMARK

- **The Church of Aarhus pays protection money**

Since the summer of 2008, the church of the 2nd largest Danish city, Aarhus, has achieved at least a little peace. After Muslim rioters -- especially in the multicultural nucleus of Gellerup, a suburb of Aarhus -- repeatedly tyrannized the Christians, the church finally paid Muslim bodyguards to protect the church from vandalism and protect the churchgoers on the way to and from their cars. Up until now, this measure has had complete success, and the church books out their expenditure as a success in multicultural integration.³⁵

- **Arabs want to murder Jews in order to gain recognition among Muslims**

What originally sounded like a bad joke turned out to be fatally serious: a Danish Muslim of Lebanese descent, Wissam Freijeh, 27, at the beginning of 2009 in the Danish township of Odense, attempted to murder two Jews in broad daylight. In a pedestrian shopping zone, he shot a number of times at both Jews who fortunately managed to survive the murder attempt and are now healing from their wounds at a specialist clinic. In the meantime, the Danish newspapers, among others, found out the following information about the criminal involved: he had already been cited in a Danish newspaper in 2004 as "*wanting to improve recognition among immigrants in Denmark.*" He perceived this to be the most important duty that he had. Aside from this, Lebanese women who would leave their husbands were also to be killed according to Islamic laws.

In connection to the near-death shooting of the two Jews, resourceful journalists have invented a new term, "sudden jihad syndrome," in order to describe the immediate or sudden need of a Muslim to kill an "infidel."³⁶

- **Danish Jews require police protection**

Muslim violence threatens Denmark's approx. 6,000 Jews who are having to depend more and more on police protection. Anti-Israel marches have been acted out in anti-Jewish street battles. One organization called Hizb-ut-Tahrir openly calls Muslims "*to kill all Jews wherever they find them.*"³⁷

- **Jewish pupils have to change schools because they are bullied by Muslims**

In August 2001, the principal of the Rådmandsgade School in Copenhagen-Nørrebro (Denmark) suggested to Jewish children that they attend another school because he could no more guarantee their security there. Jewish children had been mistreated before by the Muslim pupils who are in the majority there.³⁸

4. REFUSAL OF INTEGRATION BY MUSLIMS IN DENMARK

- **Muslims remain more and more among themselves**

With the increasing number of Muslim immigrants comes ever increasing separation from the native Danish population. Muslims, as the surveys and statistics show, wish to have ever less dealings with the native Danes. A more recent survey shows that only 5 percent of young Muslim immigrants would be ready to marry a Dane.³⁹

- **Denmark: Muslim schools bully and beat up pupils**

In Odense, the 4th largest city in Denmark at 190,000 inhabitants, there live also numerous Muslims, many of whom come from Somalia. There is a special school for their children, the ABC-Skol in Vollsmose. However, this school is a special kind of school, for at this school the rules governing the school are not Danish but rather Somalian. For example, if the children answer the questions from the teacher too slowly, or if they give a wrong answer, then they are publicly humiliated and beaten in their Islamic home, usually with a rod on a finger and sometimes even openly in the face. This is hard for a person in Danish Odense to accept, for this type of punishment in modern Danish classrooms is now the stuff of history books. However, the police are now dealing with the new cultural enrichment provided by the Muslim teachers. Schoolmaster Muhammed Farah had been often warned to put an end to the violence in his school. He simply cannot comprehend why everyone is so excited about his school. After all, violence in Islam is forbidden anyway, the schoolmaster says...⁴⁰

- **Forced marriages and murders “of honor” also in Denmark**

Forced marriage -- In Denmark, newborn daughters are promised to male cousins in the homeland (of the family), and then forced to marry them under threat of death. This is a problem not only in Denmark, but in other European countries where Muslims live.⁴¹

- **Denmark is capitulating to her Muslims**

The Danes are constantly experiencing civil-war-like conditions in their cities. The German journalist and critic of Islam, Udo Ulfkotte, writes about this:

In January 2008, after there had been great unrest in the Danish suburbs where Muslims are majority populations, and after young Muslims in the township of Nørrebro set schools, apartment buildings, automobiles, and garbage containers on fire as well as attacking police, firemen and sanitation personnel, the security authorities searched for the cause. The young people had no reason for their excessive behavior. When asked by the media what they wanted in order to put a stop to their violent excesses, they requested that the police no longer examine immigrants regarding the trafficking of illegal substances and weapons. It is no longer allowed that immigrants be examined on the basis of suspicion in “their” neighborhoods. The Danish police responded in kind to this in January 2008 -- and put a moratorium on suspect examinations in Copenhagen neighborhoods such as Blågårds Plads and Folkets Park, hotspots for oriental drug traffickers. The written statement from the police authorities was also shown on the TV-2 News show under the headline “Police Changes Tactics” in which they reported the pullout of the police from the Copenhagen’s immigrant-inhabited quarters. Moreover, it is now no longer permitted for police cruisers to patrol the immigrant neighborhoods because the presence of security authorities might provoke the immigrant citizens to new rioting. From this time forth, the only security measures allowed in the immigrant neighborhoods will be just the local police stations.”⁴²

5. TERRORIST ASSAULTS AND SUPPORT OF TERRORISM FROM THE MUSLIMS

- **Danish Green Party Candidate calls for terrorist assaults against Danish soldiers**

Imagine if a Chinese^{†††} or American parliamentary representative would openly call on the Taliban in Afghanistan to kill any Chinese or American soldier whenever the opportunity would arise.

Yet, this very thing is happening right now in Denmark. The Danish-Palestinian politician Asmaa Abdol-Hamid has called all her Muslim brothers to kill Danes wherever they might encounter them.⁴³ Abdol-Hamid, along with her family, came as a Palestinian fugitive to Denmark in 1986 where she

††† as in *Uiguristan*, or *East Turkmenistan*

and they were generously awarded asylum -- and where she enjoyed a first-class education. After she worked as a social worker and a family counsellor she was elected in 2005 as representative in the city council of Odense for the left-alternative party *Enhedslisten*. The political activity of Mrs. Asmaa Abdol-Hamid is considered in Denmark to be a "successful example of prosperous integration" and as "cultural enrichment." Now, this is the same person that has called for terror attacks against Danish soldiers in Iraq. German and other European newspapers have remained most extremely quiet about the call for attack from this successfully integrated "Danish lady."

After confirming her call for the murder of Danish soldiers in several subsequent interviews, it appeared that Abdol-Hamid would no longer be worth keeping as the poster candidate for the Greens. However, the opposite actually happened. The Greens, meanwhile, have actually increased their dependence on this famous associate who continues unrestrained to openly promote Islam.⁴⁴

- **30,000 Dollars as Blood Money rewarded for each Dane slain**

A 30,000 Dollar reward has been offered by a Muslim group in Denmark to anyone who kills a Jew in Denmark.⁴⁵

- **Hip-hop singer of Moroccan origin challenges incarcerated terrorist suspects to "stay the course"**

Isam Bachiri is a Copenhagen-born Moroccan, a singer for the Hip-Hop band "Outlandish," which was started in Denmark. The Danish media reports that Bachiri is supporting a 19-year-old Muslim incarcerated as a terror suspect in Denmark with money, clothing and ideological support. In numerous letters to the detainee are written challenges to "stay the course."⁴⁶

6. AND IN DENMARK THE MUSLIMS WANT THE POWER

- **Copenhagen: Murder attempts against critics of Islam**

On Sunday, 21 October 2007, the Danish organization SIAD -- critics of Islam -- had planned to demonstrate against the increasing Islamization of Europe. Before the protest began, out of nowhere leftwing individuals appeared, armed with iron rods, and their faces showing the intent to kill. The demonstrators were attacked by these individuals and were seriously injured. One of countless indicators that the leftists of Europe are ready to engage themselves -- even to the point of inflicting death -- in order to bring about the Islamization of Europe. Neither the Danish nor the German media reported anything about this documented occurrence.⁴⁷

- **Murder upon conversion to Christianity**

Even in Denmark, Muslims who convert to Christianity must fear for their lives. Such a thing happened to a Kurdish woman living in Denmark. She received many death threats after she revealed why she had left Islam and converted to Christianity. Ever since her public declaration, she has had to hide in fear for her life.⁴⁸ Murder and threats of murder have for a long time been a successful means by Islam to keep its "umma" together and to prevent any possible internal criticism and solution to that criticism from happening. Internal and external terror -- these are unmistakable signs of a religion with a license to kill, even a religion that is called "the religion of peace."

- **The goal of an Islamic Denmark**

Muslim leaders openly declare the institution of Islamic law as their goal -- *Schari'a* -- as soon as Denmark's Muslim population has grown enough to enable it. This reality of this is not too far off in

the future. One sociologist figures that if the current trends hold out, in 40 years 1 in 3 inhabitants of Denmark will be a Muslim.⁴⁹

Part 3 The First Summary Regarding the Islamization of Denmark

Muslims want the rule of Europe

The list of facts in connection with the Islamization of Denmark can be arranged in pretty much any order. However, they mainly show three things:

First, when evaluating all immigrants coming into Denmark, it can be concluded that where criminal activity is connected to *religion*, the Muslim immigrants are most ostentatious.

Second, Muslim immigrants are unrelentingly in pursuit of the takeover of power in Denmark. This will not be a normal transfer of government, as in normal democracies, but rather a complete transformation of this Christian Democratic country into an Islamic country under the laws of Schri'a -- a Muslim Denmark. Muslims in all other European countries are pursuing this same goal where they now live as immigrants.^{***}

Third, it appears that Denmark's Muslim problem is not limited to Denmark. Every western country, in which a notable Islamic diaspora lives, has to battle with similar or identical problems. For Islam is no religion -- and especially not a "religion of peace" -- rather it is totalitarianism with an unconditional claim to world dominance. All of this has been going on successfully for the past 1400 years under the disguise of "religion."

What makes this so difficult to understand, among other things, is the giant vacuum of knowledge that non-Muslims have about Islam. Also, the difficulty that a trusting and well-intentioned^{§§§} global citizen has in accepting the idea that Islam could be such an exaggerated exception among the major world religions. Buddhism, Christianity, Judaism, Hinduism and Taoism may more or less have their problems, but at their heart they are mostly tolerant and peaceloving. Without exception they teach their believers that the good things they do in this life equip them for the next.

Endoctrination in Islam is Endoctrination into cadaver duty and the ruthless killing of "infidels"

Islam represents the exception: the capture of world dominance is the ultimate goal, all other goals must be subordinate. The Islamic ban of killing counts only for Muslims, not for "infidels." Islamic peace will be achieved only by the fulfillment of Muslim world dominance; so the motto goes: When the whole world thinks and believes the way it is in Islam's will, only then will peace rule in this world. Communism assumed an unrealistic image of man (in which they put forth the doctrine that all persons are equal) and failed as a result of this flawed perception. Moreover, Islam has an unrealistic image of man because it assumes that every person will eventually believe in one God -- the Islamic God -- and this image does not fit into to the infinite psychological, intellectual and creative diversity that is characteristic of every human being. The species called "man" is far more diverse, sophisticated, intelligent, creative, chaotic, and ultimately unpredictable than the archaic image of man given by the analphabetic^{¶¶¶}-founded Islam. The "dar-al-Islam," the Islamic concept of a future world, one in which all inhabitants are Muslim, is

^{***} This is covered in further essays of mine.

^{§§§} This is meant in a positive connotation and has nothing to do with "do-gooders."

^{¶¶¶} Muhammad could neither read nor write.

nothing more than an unrealistic, and even surrealist, Utopia that will never happen.**** However, as long as the Muslims will strive for such a world and artificially divide our planet into “believers” and “infidels”, as long as they have this sense of a god-like charge for an eternal Jihad against the world of “infidels,” for this long the name Islam will be associated with war, terror and violence.

This continual war against non-Muslims -- jihad -- is declared by Islam as a religious and holy duty for every single Muslim. *O-Ton Khomeini*:

"The Christians and Jews say, 'you shall not kill!' But we say, that killing achieves the same value as a prayer when it is necessary!"

There's more yet: Islam lures its contract killers with the alleged entry into Paradise where numerous dozens of virgins await the “jihadists,” and with whom they will enjoy sexual pleasures forever. And should a Muslim have problems with his conscience about the killing of an “infidel,” there is help and religious support directly from the Quran. In this passage they can read the redeeming words of their god, either before or after their act of murder:

"You are not the one who has slain them, rather Allah slew them. And you are not the one who has fired the shot, but rather Allah fired the shot, in order that He might show great grace from Himself." Surah 8:17

To make it clear: their Islamic God, the “all-merciful”, not only expects his believers to kill off the “infidels,” but also he caringly provides the necessary therapy to deal directly with the situation. Their god has also seen to it that the sufferings of his hired killers will be kept in check by freeing them from all personal responsibility for their murders, and by taking that responsibility upon himself personally. One can observe this scenario from well-known mass murders in recent times (i.e. Hitler, Stalin, Mao, Pol Pot), where the State said: “You are not the one that did the killing; I, your State, am the killer. You are merely my instrument. When authority commands it (especially a god-like authority), it is seems easier to commit murder.

No other world religion has such a perfidious form of murder-culture; no other world religion schools their followers so systematically in how to kill innocent people of a different faith; no other world religion accepts such an outright devilish invention of general immunity for their believers so that they are free from the guilt of murder -- and this is written in their “holy scriptures.” Getting to the point, if there really is a Satan, then the name of his religion is Islam, and his prophet is Muhammad.

Islam is therefore precisely the religious “worst case scenario” which normal citizens of the world can neither imagine nor acknowledge. Islam is the only world religion that educates its adherents from youngest childhood on up in the systematic and ruthless killing of non-Muslims. In 206 places (!) the Quran alone gives challenges for rape and murder against the infidels as well as the violent propagation of the faith. This fact alone regarding this “holy book” is what fundamentally distinguishes it from the holy books of the other remaining world religions. In 1800 further passages, their prophet Muhammad himself charges each Muslim to refer to the texts from Sunna and Hadith.

Conclusion: there are evil persons in every religion. But it takes a religion like Islam to make an evil person out of a good person.

The following fact cannot be repeated often enough: Europe has virtually no notable problems with Hindu, Buddhist, Jewish and Christian immigrants. The name of the European immigration problem is ‘Islam.’ In spite of this long indisputable fact, Muslim agents have a clever method for presenting the immigrant problem that they are causing: classify it as a “*general*” problem with *foreigners*.” The facts however demonstrate very clearly: remove the Muslim statistics from the Danish crime statistics (and for that matter the other European countries’ statistics), and the immigration problem presents itself more amicably.

The notion of religious freedom is once again in great need of reconsideration. If the religious texts of a given religion, its internal foundations and/or the declarations of its prophets can so blatantly violate the unalienable human rights declaration of the UN, as is the case with Islam, then the status of “religion” must be removed by the highest courts. Otherwise any imaginable human injustice would be allowable and legitimized by law when it is committed in the name of “recognized religion,” and it would therefore

**** This brings to mind the insolubility of the Shiite-Sunni conflict within Islam itself.

be classified under the protection of “*religious freedom*.” Since this change of status isn’t happening, it is now high time for enlightenment to begin by means of international consensus (excluding, of course, Islamic countries).

It cannot and should not be permitted any longer for Islam to remove historically developed legal systems from power over the countries where they are already established, such as has been happening for a while now in most western countries. Moreover, religious law must not be allowed to break constitutional law. In the future, it should be called this way:

**Constitutional law breaks religious law!
No ifs, ands or buts.**

Part 4

The Western Enablers of Denmark’s Islamization

Without the active support of western intellectuals, pastors, politicians, artists, media personnel, authors and journalists, the Islamization of Europe would never be successful. The following chapter will show in particular what this support looks like.

POLITICIANS AS ENABLERS OF ISLAMIZATION

Copenhagen’s Integration Commissioner, Jacob Hougaard, called in all earnest to increase the visibility of Islam in all Danish schools and in places of employment, and to make the Islamic religion a solid component of public life in Denmark. *O-Ton* Hougaard:

“Islam must become a component of public life.”⁵⁰

He refined his challenge by effectively demanding general school vacation days occur on Islamic holidays, calling for separate bathrooms/washrooms for Muslims, and for a general honoring of the daily Islamic prayer times by private employers, and access to “halal”-slaughtered meat.⁵¹

Also, the previous Danish Exterior Minister and a liberal, Uffe Ellemann-Jensen, belongs to the party of appeasers. Ellemann-Jensen sharply criticized the publishing of the caricatures, and on 7 February 2006, he even called for the resignation of the chief editor of the *Jyllands-Posten*, Carsten Juste.

When the Polish *Rzeczpospolita* reprinted the Muhammad caricatures, they were promptly criticized by the Polish administration. Even more: Poland’s Exterior Minister Stefan Meller knelt down unsolicited on the knee and officially apologized for the reprint by an independent newspaper, and in quick and early obedience to all Muslims in this world. Things like this always please the Muslims of the world because they expect nothing else from the non-Islamic part of the world but their collective subjugation under the Islam’s authoritative claims. After this, the chief editor of the monthly newspaper *Wież*, Zbigniew Nosowski, followed up with this criticism of Poland’s government:

“This publication was a provocation that has been amplified by the additional media coverage. Journalistic provocations can be essentially useful when an uncomfortable truth cannot be disclosed by any other means. The only new information that came from the caricatures was ... the level of ignorance concerning things religion.”

Here we see a typical western Journalist who has arrogantly set himself up to speak eloquently of “*provocation*” and “*ignorance in things Religion*”, and in this he has proven that he has absolutely no understanding of the essence of Islam himself. You can’t just outdo his commentary; it bristles of ignorance, stupidity and arrogance.

It is a given that the Turkish President of Ministry, Erdogan, would sharply criticize the caricature publication. However what he didn't indicate in his harsh criticism was that this was only a drop in the bucket compared to what he demanded from his party in 1997. As coauthor of his party's manifest, he called for no less than the total annihilation of the Jews. That is the correct thing to do within the context of the Quran's anti-Jewish contents, and Islamically correct according to the declarations of Muhammad. These things are not and will never be criticized by any Islamic association or leading Islamic theologian.

Media silence

Western "quality" media have kept Erdogan's declaration silent to this day -- a declaration that is not inferior to those of Hitler and Ahmadinejad. Coincidence? Not on your life. If the editors had been able to unearth such declarations by an American or Israeli president from their archives, then they would certainly have dominated the headlines of the world for weeks to follow!

Erdogan's monstrous call for genocide of the Jews of this World seems not to be worth a single headline for most of the media, but for Erdogan it also seems to be no moral problem as well. On the other hand, exercise any criticism of his prophet Muhammad who challenged his followers many times to wipe out the Jews and who himself had countless Jews killed, and this causes no small stir. It is well known that the murder of non-Muslims is allowed in Islam. However, the one who exposes this Islamic license to kill, the one who calls it by name and criticizes it, the same is regarded by Islam as an unforgivable offender of the prophets and the religion. That is the hierarchy and hypocrisy of the moral principles of this "religion of peace."

I accuse the majority of the media of nothing less than complicity with the totalitarianism of Islam and with its most important political and spiritual leaders, whether intentional or unintentional. The reasons for this (often unintentional) complicity has three names:

- Hate against the society of Western citizens
- Antiamericanism
- Antisemitism

These, as well as total ignorance about the tenets and goals of Islam, are the essential portals between Islam and the greater portion of left-leaning Western intellectuals, whether they serve as publicists, media personnel, artists or politicians.^{††††}

JOURNALISTS AS ENABLERS OF ISLAMIZATION

The Western press normally recognizes no taboo: whether the subject is Christendom, the pope, western or non-western politicians, artists, countries, scientists, ideologies or ideologues, religions or sects. Everything can be analyzed, criticized, caricatured -- and the freedom to do so is right.

However, the Speaker of the German Journalist Association (Deutscher Journalistenverband - DJV), Hendrik Zörner, of all people, vehemently criticized the reprinting of the Danish caricatures in German newspapers making a reference to the "Code of the Press." According to the Code, "*publication in Word and Picture that in Form and Content can be possibly injurious to the religious sensibilities of a people group violates the stipulations agreed upon in the Code*" (numeral 10 of the German Press Code).⁵²

The question is, whether Zörner will forego any publication of Hitler or Stalin caricatures in the future with respect to the sensibilities of the ever present and internationally recognized standards of the numerous fellowships of Neonazis and Old Stalinists? Perhaps he should even call for a cessation of George W. Bush caricatures because the millions who voted for him might have their sensibilities offended as well. No, one can conclude that he wouldn't do that. But neither personal audacity nor journalistic ethics were the guidebook here: rather the confidence that no danger would threaten him from any corner. Apparently Zörner is not versed in Islam, nor in the ethics of his career and the personal duty of his journalistic guild. These all command the journalist to write with unwavering clarity and with boldness,

†††† Due to the complex nature of this theme, it will be addressed more precisely in another place.

to write down the truth, even when it is not politically correct to do so, as well as to fight against any form of totalitarian exercise of power -- even, and especially when, this exercise of power cloaks itself in theological clothing.

In France, the *Nouvel Obs* prints an interview with the philosopher *Regis Debray* who has aligned himself with the international scenario for subjugation to Islam, and who has done no less than called for a voluntary self-control of expression of opinion with respect to Islam. Debray says:

*"We cannot transfer our thought categories and our systems to another culture that has a history and where it has played a structural role like it was with us 300 years ago."*⁵³

The Values-Relativist Debray may be a philosopher, but understands very little: little of his own history, little of the French Enlightenment, little of the most destructive consequences of an appeasement philosophy -- and even less of Islam who, in the above citation from Debray, "today would be the "peaceful religion" in power in France," but tomorrow might burn even its non-Muslim supporters.^{****}

Numerous newspapers and periodicals in the USA have also refused to reprint the Muhammad caricatures. The critical US historian and columnist, Anne Appelbaum, writes about this in the *Washington Post*:

*"Hypocrisy among the cultural left. Dozens of American newspapers, the Post included, have declared that they will not print the caricatures, but that they would rather -- in the words of a self-righteous editorial -- 'cease from superfluous attacks against religious symbols' "*⁵⁴

Appelbaum and the German sociologist *Wolfgang Sofsky* were a couple of the few voices who could correctly classify the religious and political background of the conflict regarding the caricatures and properly conclude that Islam's aggressive nature was the real cause of that conflict. Sofsky writes in the *Welt*:

*"The crowd is by no means is looking out for democratic freedoms ... the impulse that drives them is much older. The righteous multitude desires to have control of their arch enemies; they desire to slay and burn them. In general, they have the West in their sights. The only freedom they are not finished with is the freedom to kill."*⁵⁵

AUTHORS AS ENABLERS OF ISLAMIZATION

Back in 1919 already, the German author Kurt Tucholsky had formulated an answer to the question where the limits should be set for enlightening writings, and especially those that are packed with satirical criticism. His answer became famous:

*"What is allowed in satire? Everything!"*⁵⁶

Only a short century later, the German Literature Nobel Peace Prize winner, Günter Grass made the statement about the publishing of the Muhammad caricatures, that it was

*"a conscious and planned provocation by a rightwing Danish newspaper."*⁵⁷

Mr. Grass had already reached an "understanding" about the terror attacks on the New York twin towers and had admitted to a certain sympathy for the Islamic terrorists.

And here he is again: the automatic leftwing reflex of casting each and every criticism of Islam immediately and unchecked upon the rightwing political camp. In an interview with the FAZ (Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung), he utters not a single word about the worldwide agitation of Islam against the "infidels," not one word concerning the threats of murder against the Danish caricaturists and countless publishers, writers and journalists in the whole world who were bold enough to criticize Islam. Not one word was issued about Muhammad who committed numerous misdeeds against children, the thousands of

**** Further information regarding Values-Relativism can be found in "Islam as Victor in Western Values-Relativism. A Critique of Pure Tolerance." (<http://bpeinfo.wordpress.com/2009/03/19/der-islam-als-sieger-des-westlichen-werte-relativismus/>)

objectors he had slain, who declared women to be 2nd-class persons, who had a whole Jewish clan be-headed because that clan would not convert to Islam, and who for a long time (though dead) has waged a war, the 1400-year Jihad, in order to increase his borders to his own benefit. And now, today, he is in the middle of Europe, standing ready to take over this continent.

Now, these and similar themes make up the elements of the caricatures that Grass declares to be drawn by the "rightwingers." However, in contrast to Grass' opinion, this "Danish paper" puts its fingers into the gaping wounds (caused by Islam) and depicts some of the gravest grievances against Islam. Grass, however, goes so far in his friendship with Islamofascism indeed as to seriously propose on the occasion of Lübeck's candidacy for being the "cultural capital of Europe" that a Lübeck church be rechristened as a mosque.⁵⁸ Whatever is preached there doesn't seem to interest him anymore. Let's bring to mind the nature the Quran texts^{§§§§} that are preached in mosques throughout the world:

"And if you meet the infidel, then off with the head until you wreak massacre among them; and then fasten the bonds!" Sura 47:4

"And strike them (the infidels) dead wherever you encounter them." Sura 2:191

"And if they turn away (from Islam and give no ear to your challenge to believe), then seize them and kill them where(ever) you find them..." Sura 4:89

"Oh believers, take neither Jew nor Christian as friends." Sura 5:54

"Oh you who believe, battle against that one of the infidels that is neighbor to you." Sura 9:123

Etc., etc., etc.

There are only two possible reasons behind Grass engaging himself in this theofascism. First, Grass has no concept of Islam. Second, Grass does know Islam. In the first case, he is an ignoramus. In the second case, he is a friend of a fascist religion.

We could possibly excuse his membership in the Waffen-SS -- a confession that came much too late -- as the expression of inexperienced youth. However, in his great age, where one should be able to ascribe wisdom and worldly understanding to him, to be a champion for a murderous, people-disdaining, child-raping prophet, and for that religion, is inexcusable. In his blind enthusiasm and criticism-free advocacy for that "religion of peace," Grass himself has become a danger to the freedom that he believes he has fought for his whole life.

PSYCHOANALYSTS AS ENABLERS OF ISLAMIZATION

One other icon of the 1968 Movement, caller of the shots and leading figure of the Peace Movement, is the German psychoanalyst *Horst-Eberhard Richter*. Like Grass, Richter also sees the fault for the caricature conflict as primarily belonging to the West. *O-Ton Richter*:

"The West should desist from all provocations and call forth feelings of humility and humbleness. We need to esteem the cultural identity of Islamic countries more highly."⁵⁹

This sentence needs to be analyzed. Richter, is an icon of the leftwing and the Greens, a leading figure practically worshipped by a whole generation of peace-driven deniers of reality and Easter marchers. He exercises no criticism against the cutting off of hands, flogging for no reason, or the stoning of women who have committed no crime other than that they wanted to be free from their husbands. He exercises no criticism against the fact that in Islamic lands today critics of "the religion of peace" have their eyes put out without anaesthetization, that girls at already ---> two! <--- years of age are forced to marry dirty old men, that women according to Islamic law (Shari'a) are condemned to be second-class people.

§§§§ The Quran, in 206 places (!), calls for violence and murder against infidels as well as the violent propagation of the faith. THIS is the fundamental point of difference between Islam and the rest of the world's religions.

He exercises no criticism against the highest courts who give their blessing to the worst of all forms of paedophilia: sex with nursing infants.⁶⁰

Does he not know all of that? Now, perhaps 20 years ago we could have let *Richter* get away with this because of his ignorance regarding things Islam. Today, though, after 11 September, after Bali, after Madrid, after London, after the inexpressible activities of the Taliban in Afghanistan and Pakistan, after the mass killings of homosexuals in Iran (and now recently in Iraq), and now the historically unmatched persecution of Christians that is currently playing out before us in practically every Islam-ruled country, Richter has no excuse. He cannot and will not be allowed to escape the dishonor and disgrace due him, in the face of screaming anti-Western and pro-Islam misanalyses, and in the face of his cowardly and hurried cowering to fascistic Islam. *Richter*, himself a psychoanalyst and psychotherapist for decades, not only in Germany, but well-known in all of the West, passes up no opportunity to ascribe as many aggressions as possible to the West. Indeed he seeks to discredit Western systems by describing them as "power-possessed devils"⁶¹ and accuse them as being *phobically and paranoidly disturbed*.⁶² However, in the case of the worldwide Muslim uprisings that followed the publication of the Muhammad caricatures, this judge of the system and of capitalism makes an astonishing mutation from a rigorous accuser to a loving grandpa-like figure:

*"We know about such temper outbreaks in these countries. We should not heap the rioters and the vandalism of furious masses in with the basic form of the majority..."*⁶³

Not one word about the 140 casualties from the uprisings, not one word about the murdered priests and nuns, not a single word about the destruction of dozens of churches, nothing about the killing of the innocent, while Richter, through his description "temper outbreak" trivialized the worldwide persecution of Christianity by Islam. How selective this distortion of perception is. It borders on paranoia, and it comes from one of the "most influential" psychoanalysts in postwar Germany. To think that such incomparable ignorance would come from *Richter*, "the one who understands Islam," who on one hand makes out "man" to be an "inhumane being," and on the other hand engages himself for Islam -- expressly for the most inhumane, most murderous, most morbid variety of masculomania on the globe!

Just like most of his scientific colleagues, Richter addresses the problem of Islam primarily through communication theory. He addresses the issue of so-called Western deficit of dialog and understanding, a so-to-speak mechanical dialog that occurs because there has been no effort or only a rudimentary attempt to deal with the issues of Islam. For the family therapist and experts in communication deficit, the complete world relies consistently on familiar processes such as the conflict between children, parents and adult-id and therefore it is reduced to treating narcissistic illnesses. For Richter, this is practical because he believes, as a degreed psychotherapist, that he has the correct answers for the political and religious conflicts of the world. This is how an individual psychologist mutates directly into a psychological world philosopher without having to grapple with the issues of politics and religion. But there is a certain point of ignorance about issues where even the best generalist, when lacking the knowledge of facts, starts to mutate into nothing more than a dilettant, an amateur. Richter shows these symptoms in his approach to Islam in his essay that is characteristically titled, "*Islamophobia - a Symptom of the 'psychological illness of unrest (lack of peace)'*." In this writing, he poses the rhetorically targeted question:

*"Is Islamophobia a symptom of psychopathological restlessness (lack of peace)?"*⁶⁴

Correct diagnosis -- but incorrect patient. For Richter exchanges cause with effect. The critic of Islam is not the one that is "psychopathologically without peace," as Richter would have it, rather the "religion" of Islam. In order to make it clear and without question, one of the most well-known and respected psychotherapists in Germany, one who fights against masculomania and totalitarianism (as Richter says of himself), analyzes the criticism against theofascism and the male-religion Islam as illnesses -- ex cathedra so to say. The killer phrase "*Islamophobia*" can have no other meaning.

Given such a grave misdiagnosis by an expert, we must be allowed to ask one question. The question is this: If Richter had lived three quarters of a century earlier, would he have come upon the same diagnosis for critics of national socialism and titled it "*Naziphobia*"? Or for critics of communist totalitarianism as being "*Stalinphobia*"? Or perhaps "*Maophobia*" for critics of Chinese communism? Beside the point? Absolutely not! Numbers of his colleagues in communist countries have made this very diagnosis and

have had critics of communism committed to Middle-Age-class psychiatric care units only to disappear forever.

And like Grass, Richter may be just as famous. But he writes, like many of his colleagues, about things of which he has no understanding. This may be a form of megalomania, even a sign of self-glory that can be observed of many old 1968ers to this day. In any case, Richter makes so many unqualified comments to an especially successful accomplice of the "*psychopathologically peaceless*" Islam, and there are still hundreds of thousands of young Peace Movement individuals that believe every word that comes off his pen.

THE LEFT AS ENABLERS OF ISLAMIZATION

The burden of critical discussion about the theme Islam that is led by a vast number of persons and parties -- that the leftwing opinion makers usually blame on the rightwing camp -- can really be blamed on the leftwing-Green-self-righteous crowd. Since the leftwing refuses to have open debate about totalitarian, inhumane Islam to this day, they have abandoned the political field of "criticism of Islam" to others by which they doubly refuse through demonizing this criticism as "hostile to foreigners."

However, this strategy of demonizing the right as employed by the left has developed into an ideological boomerang in the long run because in the long run the steadfast and constant assignment of the term "hostile to foreigners" to "criticism of Islam" makes it nearly impossible in the spectrum of the left, even in the most valid and obvious cases, to become actively critical of Islam. In this case, this accusation of "hostility to foreigners" may fall back on to them with full force, a substantive and argumentative vicious circle for which the leftwing do gooders will have no escape, and in the end, Islam will be the real winner.

DANISH CONVERTS TO ISLAM AS ENABLERS OF ISLAMIZATION

In Denmark, a trend toward conversion to the "religion of peace" can also be seen. As in Germany and other Western countries, a large portion of the almost 4,000 Danish converts to Islam have settled into the leftwing and Green spectrum of politics. This fact is clearly seen in a study at the University of Copenhagen.[65] According to this study, the subjects being dealt with were converts to Islam and open opponents of the Western model of democracy and who want Islam to be the form of rule in their country. This internal about-face of values of an erstwhile leftwing Islam convert is astonishing:

- away from communist-correct atheism and straight to a religion where any doubt about its god and his prophet is punishable by death, and to a religion that desires to force the whole world under Allah's banner.
- away from the the demand of equality between man and woman -- to a religion of gender Apartheid, where according to the law and religious manuscripts (Sunna and Quran) the subjugation and position of the woman with almost no rights is sealed for all time
- away from equality for all people to the equality of all like-minded individuals, the Muslims. In contrast, all other people, according to the Quran, according to Muhammad and according to the agents of the "religion of peace" can be killed with confidence.

This is only a small piece of cloth from this new religious coat those Western converts have slipped on over their previously leftist scene when they decided expressly to become Muslim. One wonders, if at their conversion into the "religion of peace," somebody told them that there is no return to their pre-Islamic life, and in the case of turning away from Islam they would be killed according to Muhammad's personal challenge:

*"Kill every one that leaves the religion (of Islam)!"*⁶⁶

CONCLUSION: AFTER FAILING WITH HITLER, THE WESTERN APPEASEMENT CROWD DOES SO AGAIN

With its “politically correct” reaction to minority hatred by its Muslim immigrants, Denmark now lies within the general mainstream of the political reactions by practically all Western governments. Apparently, these countries have not learned the lesson from the devastating appeasement policy that the Allies had exercised as a response to Hitler’s expansionist plans. Just as it was then with the National Socialists (Nazis), even so now they feed the Islamic “crocodile” (Churchill) hoping that they will be last ones consumed by it.***** The “never again war” mentality that Western countries have summarily taken from the Second World War is as wrong as it is absurd.

Appeasement is wrong because societies dedicated to this maxim present themselves as an easy prize, and, as we see in the current case of this massive Islamization of Europe, they will surrender due to lack of defenses.⁶⁷

Appeasement is absurd because historical experience shows that almost without exception the rogue regimes of this world have had to be overcome by violence. It was this way with Hitler, it was the same way with the Khmer Rouge in Cambodia, and it was so with the cannibalistic regime of Idi Amin in Uganda who in the end had converted to Islam.

Auschwitz, Sobibor and Treblinka were not liberated by self-righteous peace demonstrations in London, Paris or New York, like one might think they’ve heard. They were liberated at the price of hundred thousands of civilian and military victims of the tanks of the Allied War Forces and Red Army. Pol Pot was not overthrown by peace vigils of concerned do-gooders in the West. It was by means of massive military insertion by the troops of Vietnam, in this case at the cost of 1,000 dead. Those who have chosen freedom for nothing have already chosen oppression.

No, the only correct conclusion from the Second World War must exclaim:

“Never again toleration of intolerance!”

If this sentence would have been included in the preambles of the constitutions of free countries, then such an intolerant and totalitarian system like Islam would not have been able to nestle itself in among the countries of the free world and be validated because of the guarantee of religious freedom provided by these constitutions, but this has happened without exception. If this sentence had been a part of the constitutional conventions in western lands, then a religion like Islam would have been quickly recognized as an acute danger against freedom and would have been forbidden by the highest constitutional courts. Instead of the policy of chumming up to Islam by means of clergy, intellectuals, politicians, jurists and artists, and instead of the flood of mosques being built in the West, the Muslims would have been confronted by a value system that is well advanced and -- in respect to science, culture and human rights -- that is decidedly superior.

But the Western elite to this day cowers away from such forms of confrontation against this theocratic barbarism. Maybe it is because they have forgotten that freedom must be defended and recaptured anew every day. Maybe it is because they have a private or open hate against their Western system. Perhaps either they do not realize the existential threat emanating from Islam or, since the speedy and unexpected end of communism, they deep down secretly wish to collaborate with Islam in its ancient endeavor, and with Islam’s help, overthrow the Western system. However, let it be said to all appeasers and all foes of Western freedom: the Islamic crocodile will consume you first!

THE COLLECTIVE FAILURE OF THE WESTERN ELITE

To be qualified to speak on this and other truths about the unique essence of the “religion of peace” as a journalist, politician or author requires intense activity with Islam. It is precisely for this reason, time

☪☪☪ pacifist

***** “An appeaser is one who feeds a crocodile, hoping it will eat him last,” Winston Churchill. Cited in: Henryk M. Broder: Against the Politics of Appeasement, in: *Aufklärung und Kritik (Enlightenment and Critique)*, Sonderheft (Special Edition) 13/2007 (http://www.gkpn.de/Broder_Islamismus.pdf).

and content, that most Western journalists and intellectuals have cowered away from this subject. And because of this retreat from the issue they have failed in their main task: the commitment to advocate for freedom and the relentless enlightenment of their readers.

Politicians and jurists are guilty in another area: they have opened their borders, practically without limit, to a historically unmatched mass-immigration by Muslims. Every year about 1 million new Muslims stream into the various countries of the European Union, while at the same time in their original Islamic countries, non-Muslims are mercilessly persecuted, thrown into prisons, tortured, and killed. These politicians, judges and attorneys hold the prime executive responsibility for the establishment of parallel Islamic societies in every European country. These societies are not only unwilling to integrate in Europe, but on the contrary and by every implication, they are working to remove the liberal European constitutional laws and eventually replace them with an Islamoeuropean Califate ("Eurabia"). Where realization and defense are concerned, not only have jurists and politicians miserably failed, but even worse: by their inaction regarding the fatal Islamic threat against their countries they have broken the oath of the office imposed upon them by the constitution that they are to defend their people from harm.

A complete and historically developed value system -- that of the Western enlightenment, of human rights, of freedom of thought and of the freedom of the individual -- has collapsed in the face of an international scenario because of caricatures that:

1. were legitimate in content
2. were formally legitimate because of the freedom of criticism or debate, even if they might not have been correct in content,
3. were a blot on the face of Islam, especially when considering the humiliation and scorn of Christianity, Jewry, and of the West that are the daily way of life in Islamic countries. Anyone who has at least once seen the hate-filled genocidal caricatures drawn up by the media in the Islamic world knows that the agitation regarding the Muhammad caricatures was lop-sided, reactionary, and hypocritical.

Islam pays such fussy attention to not being criticized -- but by the same token, it is limitless in its constant and destructive criticism against the non-Islamic world. Muslims in the whole world believe that they are allowed to burn, shred and spit upon the "holy" symbols of non-Muslims and go unpunished. One seldom sees a Muslim demonstration in which the flags of Western countries are not shredded, set on fire or trampled underfoot. Muslims know no limitation when they seek to agitate the West with their verbal attacks. And they hold no limits when they follow up these verbal attacks with their cowardly and malicious terror attacks in the world -- mostly against innocent civilians, men, women as well as children. This call by Islam for the ban of criticism against them is the greatest and potentially the most dangerous single attack against the Western-Enlightened world, and in the face of the daily hate and war rhetoric coming from the "religion of peace" against all non-Islamic countries, the ban of criticism against Islam shows itself to be a farce and ultimate hypocrisy.

The caricature conflict has demonstrated that there can be no compromise between the following: the demands of Islam for critique-free recognition and subjugation to all of its values on the one hand, and on the other the tradition of Western Enlightenment, according to which no theme is allowed to escape critical evaluation (religions included).

It's either the one or the other. There is either only thought control, as in Islam, or there is complete freedom of thought, as dictated by Western Enlightenment.

However, because Islam, since its early existence, explicitly threatens each criticism and each critical inquiry and scrutiny of its matters and persons (Muhammad), there can be no permanent political and societal coexistence between Muslims and non-Muslims. A look at the history of Islam as well as at the current political situation demonstrates this fact. Islam accepts only one single form of such a coexistence: the absolute predominance of Islam over all other religions -- the subjugation of all other religions to its authority to the end that the only choice is to convert to Islam -- or be killed.

The belief in a peaceful coexistence as accepted by most Western multicultural adherents, and that governs most intellectuals and politicians, is born out of factual ignorance of Islam's total character, and in the end it will turn out to be a fatal illusion. As long as Europe stays on this course of ideological and political paralysis, at the end of this "peaceful coexistence" the only winner, as is already established,

will be the “religion of peace.” The Muslims themselves know best that Islam means “war” -- and by no means “peace”.

“Every one of mine who preaches and declares that Islam does not have the slogan ‘war, war, all the way to victory,’ and that this slogan isn’t in the Quran are right. The Quran demands much more, it demands ‘war, war, all the way to total annihilation of their corruption.’” Ruhollah Khomeini⁶⁸

The one desiring to stop Eurabia from happening can no longer rely on politics or justice. The one who wishes to stop Eurabia must himself become active (in the following):

1. Cast no more votes for pro-Islamic politicians. Cancel subscriptions to pro-Islamic newspapers and periodicals.
2. Readers need to send letters en masse answering articles and commentaries that categorize critics of Islam as being rightwing extremist and/or articles and commentaries that deny that the Islamization of Europe is really happening.
3. Send E-mail chain letters to radio and TV editors who put on Islamophilic (Islam-friendly or Islam-loving) shows.
4. Mass advertisements are in order for judges and attorneys that bend German/European law who aren’t actively involved in immigration criminal law.
5. Cast no more votes for mayors and parties that have actively engaged themselves in the support of building mosques, and widely publish the names of those who do so.
6. Send protest letters en masse to town halls, mayoral offices, party offices.
7. Organize Sit-Ins and both small and large demonstrations where possible.
8. Inform friends and acquaintances regarding Islam -- for example, the forwarding of articles exposing the true nature of the issue and other information by E-mail
9. Share knowledge about Islam with friends, partners and acquaintances
10. When voting (whether local, state, federal, [or European], vote only for those parties that recognize the danger of Islam and stand against it.
11. Encourage those politicians, journalists, intellectuals, artists and other citizens that are bold enough to exercise criticism about Islam and to accept the stigma of “radical right” that the left and Islamic associations will attach to them.

Everything is now laid out on the table. But one truth now stands firm: “Whoever does not fight has already lost the battle.”

Michael Mannheimer
Second Revision
Germany, August 2009
Contact: M.Mannheimer@gmx.net

Literature

1. Citation according to Gernot Facius, DIE WELT, 6 October 2001
2. Jürgen Trittin, cited in "Die konservative Informationsbasis im Internet (The Conservative Information Base on the Internet)" (<http://www.konservativ.de/radikal/trittin.htm>)
3. <http://newsgroups.derkeiler.com/Archive/De/de.soc.politik.texte/2005-09/msg00009.html>
4. Miriam Lau: "Risiko Deutschland (Risk Germany)" -- Joschka Fischer in Bedrängnis. In: Welt-Online, 7 February 2005
5. Khomeini, cited among others in: Kurt Koch: "Der Islam aus Christlicher Sicht (Islam in a Christian View)", <http://www.beepworld.de/members41/jesus2000/islam.htm>
6. Bassam Tibi, cited in: Siegfried Kohlhammer: "Kulturelle Grundlagen wirtschaftlichen Erfolgs (Cultural Foundations for Economic Success)", in: Eurozine (<http://www.eurozine.com/articles/2006-11-02-kohlhammer-de.html>)
7. Cited in: Der Koran, die Hadithe und die Islamiten (The Quran, Hadith and the Muslims) ; <http://www.jupi.homepage-t-online.de/palaestina%20israel%20zionazis%20judenmission/christlich-zionistische%20schmuddelpopaganda.pdf>
8. Alfred Ellinger: "Zwischen Dialog und Djihad (Between Dialog and Jihad)", (http://www.kripo-online.at/krb/show_art.asp?id=1006)
9. Hakki Akduman: "Der "Kalif von Köln (The Caliph from Cologne)", Metin Kaplan", AP
10. The Peninsular, 15 November 2008
11. Adolf Hitler, cited in "Von Allah zum Terror? (From Allah to Terror)" from Hans-Peter Raddatz, Herbig, Munich 2002, 2nd Printing, ISBN 377662289X
12. CANAN TOPÇU: "Wie eine Gebetsmühle (Like a Prayer Mill)", in: Frankfurter Rundschau on 13 November 2007
13. SPIEGEL 27/2008, page 18
14. Alfred Lang: "Zornige Migranten (Angry Immigrants)", in: Jungle World, 17 November 1999, http://www.nadir.org/nadir/periodika/jungle_world/_99/47/16a.htm
15. Sean McCormack, Speaker for the US Ministry of the Exterior, in netzzeitung.de: "USA verurteilen Karikaturen-Abdruck (USA Condemns Caricature Prints)", 3 February 2006, (http://www.netzzeitung.de/politik/ausland/380762.html?USA_verurteilen_Karikaturen-Abdruck)
16. Murad Hofmann in: "Wir müssen durch Parteieintritt - in alle wirklich demokratisch gesinnten Parteien - dazu beitragen, dass die Parteiprogramme islamkonformer werden. (We must join the parties - in every democratic oriented party - and take part in their activities so that the party programs will conform more to Islam.)" Website of the Muslim Central Council in Germany, 30 August 2004
17. Aarhus Stiftsidende 5 July 2008: "Grønlandere fordrevet efter racistiske overfald"
18. Die Kalaalit sind die grönländische Untergruppe der Volksgruppe der Inuit. die Nachfahren der Kalaalit werden heute als Grönländer bezeichnet, ihre Sprache als Kalaallisut (wie Grönländer sprechen) oder auch Grönländisch. Kalaalit (Einzahl: Kalaaleq) bedeutet auf Grönländisch entgegen landläufiger Meinung (und wie in vielen Reise-führen zu lesen ist) nicht *Menschen*, sondern Grönländer. Daher stammt auch der Name Grönlands, Kalaallit Nunaat (Land der Grönländer). Die native Bevölkerung bezeichnet sich selbst als Inuit (Einzahl: Inuk), *Menschen*. (zitiert nach Wikipedia) Translation: The *Kalaalit* are the Greenland group of the larger *Inuit* people group. The descendents of the *Kalaalit* are known today as 'Greenlanders,' their language is known as 'Kalaallisut' (in their native tongue) or 'Greenlandian.' The common meaning of '*Kalaalit*' (singular: *Kalaaleq*) in Greenlandian (as can be read in many travel brochures) is not 'person,' but rather 'Greenlander.' It is from this term that the native name of Greenland, '*Kalaallit Nunaat* (Land of Greenlandians)' comes. The native people call themselves '*Inuit*' (singular: *Inuk*), 'people.' (cited from Wikipedia)
19. Source: <http://www.akte-islam.de/3.html>
20. Aarhus Stiftsidende 5 July 2008: "Grønlandere fordrevet efter racistiske overfald" (<http://stiften.dk/apps/pbcs.dll/article?AID=/20080705/AAS/457841073/1002>)
21. Süddeutsche Zeitung, "Hetzer unter sich (Agitators among themselves)" 22 September 2008
22. DER SPIEGEL: "Schafe unter Wölfen (Sheep among Wolves), 30 December 2006, page 84
23. Snaphanen - noter om Danmark & Sverige, torsdag, december 01, 2005 Velfærdskommissionen foreslår indvandrerstop
24. Daniel Pipes: Is something rotten in Denmark? In: New York Post, 27 August 2002
25. Siegfried Kohlhammer: "Kulturelle Grundlagen wirtschaftlichen Erfolgs (Cultural Foundations for Economic Success)", <http://www.eurozine.com/articles/2006-11-02-kohlhammer-de.html>

26. Nicolai Sennels in: "Die Integration von Muslimen in europäischen Gesellschaften ist nicht möglich. (The Integration of Muslims into European Societies is Impossible.)" EuropeNews, 1 April 2009, Interview from Felix Struening (<http://dolomitengeisteu-dolomitengeist.blogspot.com/2009/04/die-integration-von-muslimen-in.html>)
27. *ibid.*
28. Hans-Ulrich Wehler: "Muslime sind nicht integrierbar (Muslims cannot integrate)", in: taz, 10 September 2002; (<http://www.taz.de/index.php?id=archivseite&dig=2002/09/10/a0132>)
29. Einar Koch: Ausländerkriminalität: Das verschweigt uns die Statistik (Criminal Activity of Foreigners: What The Statistics Conceal from Us); in: Bild.de from 16 June 2009 (<http://www.bild.de/BILD/news/vermishtes/2007/12/27/u-bahn-schlaeger/hg-auslaender-kriminalitaet/auslaender-kriminalitaet,geo=3354366.html>)
30. Jörn Hasselmann: "Jugendliche in Berlin immer brutaler. (Youth in Berlin becoming more brutal)" In: DER TAGES-SPIEGEL, 22 February 2007 (<http://www.tagesspiegel.de/politik/div/;art771,2166571>)
31. Udo Ulfkotte: "Geheimakte Dänemark: Warum immer mehr Dänen aus den Städten fliehen (Top Secret File Denmark: Why Ever More Danes are Fleeing the Cities)", in <http://info.kopp-verlag.de/news/geheimakte-daenemark-warum-immer-mehr-daenen-aus-den-staedten-fliehen.html>
32. *ibid.*
33. *ibid.*
34. Daniel Pipes: Is something rotten in Denmark? In: New York Post, 27 August 2002
35. *ibid.* As in: Kristeligt Dagblad 30 June 2008 (<http://www.kristeligt-dagblad.dk/artikel/290659:Kirke---tro--Muslimer-holder-vagt-ved-dansk-kirke>) and Udfordringen (<http://www.udfordringen.dk/art.php?ID=13147>)
36. Fyens 6 January 2009 (<http://www.fyens.dk/article/1154343:Indland-Fyn--Fra-rollemodel-til-pistolmand>)
37. Daniel Pipes: Is something rotten in Denmark? In: New York Post, 27 August 2002
38. <http://www.jupi.homepage.t-online.de/palaestina%20israel%20zionazis%20judenmission/christlich-zionistische%20schmuddelpropaganda.pdf>
39. Daniel Pipes: Is something rotten in Denmark? In: New York Post, 27 August 2002
40. DR Nyheder/Indland, 24 January 2008
41. Daniel Pipes: Is something rotten in Denmark? In: New York Post, 27 August 2002
42. Udo Ulfkotte: "In Dänemark hat die Polizei dem Druck von Randalierern nachgegeben (The Police in Denmark Have Given In to the Pressure from the Rioters)", (<http://info.kopp-verlag.de/news/in-daenemark-hat-die-polizei-dem-druck-von-randalierern-nachgegeben.html>)
43. Berlingske Tidende 23 July 2007: <http://www.berlingske.dk/article/20070723/danmark/107230930/>
44. Guardian: "Danish-Muslim leader lampoons far-right over latest prophet cartoon", 26 October 2007 (<http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2007/oct/26/muhammadcartoons1.islam>)
45. Daniel Pipes: Is something rotten in Denmark? In: New York Post, 27 August 2002
46. Ekstra Bladet (<http://ekstrabladet.dk/flash/dk kendte/article965499.ece>) and Uriasposten, January 2008 (<http://www.uriasposten.net/?p=5381>)
47. Source: <http://www.akte-islam.de/4.html>; SIAD 25 October 2007: <http://sioe.wordpress.com/2007/10/25/update-on-the-copenhagen-demonstration-murder-attempt-on-sioesiad-denmark-leader-and-members/>
48. Daniel Pipes: Is something rotten in Denmark? In: New York Post, 27 August 2002
49. Daniel Pipes: Is something rotten in Denmark? In: New York Post, 27 August 2002
50. Source: Avisen 2 March 2007 (http://avisen.dk/islam-skal-fylde-mere-i-koebenhavn_77616.aspx)
51. Avisen 2 March 2007 (http://avisen.dk/islam-skal-fylde-mere-i-koebenhavn_77616.aspx)
52. Cited in "Das Gesicht Mohammeds (The face of Muhammad)", from Wikipedia, the free Encyclopedia
53. In "Der Karikaturenstreit: Eine europäische Presseschau (The Caricature Clash: A European Press Review)", <http://www.perlentaucher.de/artikel/2886.html>
54. *Ibid.*
55. *Ibid.*
56. Kurt Tucholsky: Gesamtausgabe. Texte und Briefe. Band 3. Texte 1919
57. Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung: "Grass kritisiert Kariaturen als gezielte Provokation (Grass Criticizes Caricatures as an Intentional Provocation)", 9 February 2006, in faz.net (<http://www.faz.net/s/RubCF3AEB154CE64960822FA5429A182360/Doc-EC5816691793D4046882B75C3BD98A8C8-ATp1-Ecommon-Scontent.html>)

58. Henryk Broder: "Gunter Grass. Der Herr der Binse (Gunter Grass, the Lord of the Cane)", 14 August 2006, in: SpiegelOnline (<http://www.spiegel.de/kultur/gesellschaft/0,1518,431695,00.htm>)
59. Horst Eberhard Richter, cited in: DER SPIEGEL: "Bilderstreit (Picture Clash)", 8 February 2006 (<http://www.spiegel.de/politik/deutschland/0,1518,399575,00.html>)
60. Ayatollah Khomeini (leader of Iran) allowed the rape of babies: "A man can have sexual pleasure from a child as young as a baby. However, he should not penetrate; to use the child for sexual games is possible! If a man does penetrate and the child is injured, then he shall care for her for the rest of her life. However, this girl will not be considered as one of his four permanent wives. It is not allowed the man to marry the girl's sister. It is better for a girl to marry that point that when her first menstruation occurs, it happens in the house of her husband instead of her parents. Every father that yokes his daughter in such a fashion has a permanent place in Heaven." Ayatollah Khomeini, from Khomeini's book, "Tahrirolvasleyh," fourth volume, Darol Elm, Gom, Iran, 1990
61. Horst-Eberhard Richter, in: *Das Ende der Egomane. Die Krise des westlichen Bewusstseins (The End of Ego-mania. The Crisis of Western Consciousness)*. 2002
62. Ibid.
63. Horst-Eberhard Richter, cited in: DER SPIEGEL: "Bilderstreit (Picture Clash)", 8 February 2006
64. Horst-Eberhard Richter: "Islamophobie – ein Symptom der 'seelischen Krankheit Friedlosigkeit' (Islamophobia – a Symptom of the Illness of Psychological Unrest [lack of peace]", May 2007, (http://209.85.129.132/search?q=cache:BY6uoEH10vQJ:www.ipnw-ffm.dee/Themen%2520der%2520Zeit/Islamophobie%2520-%2520ein%2520Symptom%2520der%2520seelischen%2520...doc+Islamophobie+%E2%80%93+ein+Symptom+der+Richter&cd=1&hl=de&ct=clnk&gl=de&lr=lang_de)
65. 180 Grader (http://www.180grader.dk/nyheder/Konvertiter_er_venstreorienterede.php)
66. Bukhari V4 B52 N260, reported by Ikrima
67. Compare Michael Mannheimer: "Der Islam als Sieger des westlichen Werte-Relativismus. Eine Kritik der reine Toleranz (Islam as Victor in Western Values-Relativism. A Critique of Pure Tolerance)."
68. Khomeini, 2 December 1984. Payame enghelab, cited after Bahman Nirumand: Mit Gott für die Macht (With God for the Power). Page 346